REPORT - RIBA Student Destinations Survey 2013

Introduction

The RIBA Student Destinations Survey is a partnership project between the RIBA and the University of Sheffield. It is a study to be delivered over a ten-year period. It is currently in its third year.

The project has been designed to provide a picture of the employment situation for RIBA Part I graduates. At present very little is known about where RIBA Part 1 graduates eventually end up working, such as:

- What proportion of graduates stay in the profession?
- The effect of student fees on who enters architectural education?
- If graduates leave the profession, why do they leave and how useful was their education in securing work in another field?
- How prepared are graduates are to move to other parts of the world to find employment?

We need this information in order to make architectural education more needs led in the future, to improve the employability of RIBA Part 1 graduates and to gain a better understanding of what happens when graduates leave school to join the profession. Therefore, this information is crucial to the development of the profession.

Methodology

For the pilot phase in 2011, seven schools of architecture with different profiles from across the UK were invited to participate. The University of Sheffield, in collaboration with RIBA and the participating schools, created a pilot questionnaire to send out to recent Part 1 graduates. This survey was emailed as an online version via 'survey monkey' directly to graduates from their own university. In 2012 and 2013 the same questionnaire was utilised with the identical schools sending out the questionnaire to recent Part 1 graduates and also those who had been sent the survey in the previous year(s) (many email addresses had changed on their databases which resulted in expected attrition). The process received ethical approval through the University of Sheffield ethical review process.

The seven participating schools of universities were:

- Birmingham City University
- Cardiff University
- Kingston University
- Northumbria University
- Queen's University
- Robert Gordon University
- University of Sheffield

The collection process enables an analysis of the responses of those who completed the survey in 2011 (who were RIBA Part 1 graduates from 2010), 2012 (who were RIBA Part 1 graduates in 2010 or 2011) and 2013 (who were RIBA Part 1 graduates in 2010, 2011 or 2012).

In 2011 the survey was open for one month and 138 graduates who had recently completed RIBA Part 1 responded. The following year, 2012, also saw the survey open for a month and 55 graduates who had recently completed RIBA Part 1 responded and 37 graduates who completed their RIBA Part 1 in 2010. In 2013, once again over a period of a month, 46 graduates who had recently completed RIBA Part 1 (in 2012) responded, 32 graduates who completed their RIBA Part 1 in 2011 and 23 graduates who completed their RIBA Part 1 in 2010. These represent relatively small numbers; especially for the 2012 survey, a factor that must be taken into account in the reporting of results.

Findings

1. Attitudes to architectural studies

On a very positive note when focussing on those who had very recently completed their RIBA Part 1 (in the previous year), only 3% of 2010 graduates in the 2011 survey, 4% of 2011 graduates in the 2012 survey and 2% of 2012 graduates in the 2013 survey disagreed when asked whether they were glad they chose to study architecture at University. Of those 2010 graduates in the 2012 survey only 5% disagreed when asked whether they were glad they chose to study architecture at university while 4% of 2011 graduates in the 2013 survey disagreed with this statement. The 2010 Graduates in the 2013 survey were slightly more inclined (13%) to disagree with the statement, although this was based on a small sample. This may indicate that the further graduates get from the completion of the course the less satisfied with their choice of course they were. It will be interesting to see how these trends develop in future years. Generally the respondents felt that they had developed a wide range of transferable skills in the course of their training, though many would have liked to have learnt more about certain skills to enhance their employability. In the three years of the survey the graduates highlighted areas which required more emphasis in architectural training. When all respondents in the 2013 survey were included the top five responses were:

- Management (30%)
- Consultation skills (45%)
- Construction knowledge (50%)
- Project management (50%)
- IT (39%)

These five areas were also the most commonly identified areas in the previous two surveys emphasising the need for universities to ensure students are provided with the opportunity to develop these skills.

2. Employment situations

62% of all graduates completing the survey in 2013 were in some form of paid employment (this figure includes part-time employment and those who are self-employed/freelance) and 20% were undertaking further studies or training. This compares with 73% of graduates in some form of paid employment and 22% undertaking further university studies in 2011 and 71% of the graduates in some form of paid employment and 17% undertaking further university studies in 2012. Among 2010 Graduates completing the survey in 2013 unemployment levels were at 9% and among 2011 graduates completing the survey in 2013 it was at 10% and 11% for 2012 graduates, indicating very little difference in levels of unemployment between the different years of completion among those undertaking the most

recent survey. While not all of the respondents who were unemployed were looking for further employment it is important to monitor levels of employment in future surveys. Of the all Graduates in employment in 2013 small numbers of work hours were uncommon with more than three quarters of respondents working 35 hours or more a week and approximately 41% working 42 hrs a week or more. These trends are similar to the previous two years.

i) Employed in architecture

In the 2013 survey of those 2012 graduates in employment 79% were working within architecture compared with 75% of 2011 Graduates in the 2012 survey and 78% of 2010 Graduates in the 2011 survey. This indicates a fairly static picture. Approximately a fifth of all graduates responding to the survey in 2013 had more than one form of employment (with similar percentages in the previous two surveys). At least half of all of the survey respondents in the 2011, 2012 and 2013 surveys stated that the additional employment was in order to, 'earn a living / pay back loans'. This was the most common response when asked why they undertook additional forms of employment. Given that the second job was, invariably, not from within the sphere of architecture it is perhaps not surprising that little emphasis was placed on the experience it provided or it fitting in with career plans. When focussing on all 2013 survey respondents who were employed in architecture under 10% envisaged not working in architecture for all or most of their career. There was however some concern about the difficulty in remaining in architecture and employment opportunities. For instance, less than a fifth of all graduates in the 2013 survey agreed that there are lots of paid employment opportunities available in architecture with only one person strongly agreeing with this statement. This is of concern to the architecture profession. There was also almost a sense that it would be wasteful not to pursue a career in architecture given the time invested in it and skills developed. 'I study architecture because I love it, I work in architecture because I love it, I'll have invested half a decade in studying architecture so I better be damn good at it!' claimed a 2011 Graduate in the 2012 survey. Other participants also mentioned the length of time taken to compete the training as a real commitment.

ii) Employed outside of architecture

In the 2013 survey the great majority of the respondents that were 'not working in architecture or studying but were in other forms of employment' wanted to be involved in architecture with only 15% stating that they were no longer interested in architecture as a career. Of those in other forms of employment 69% reported difficulties in finding employment in architecture. These figures echoed those responses in the previous two surveys. A number of participants identified their role as being in design, planning or construction rather than specifically architecture. When participants did not want a career in architecture stress was mentioned more than once. For instance, a 2013 survey respondent stated that they 'didn't want to be an architect, (it is) too stressful, too poorly paid for what is expected, takes too long to qualify and (there is) too much arrogance in the profession' and another commented that they 'wanted to get far away from the stress of architecture'. Another graduate completing the 2013 survey felt there was 'not enough financial benefit (in architecture)' and were moving into project management/management consultancy. While this was an isolated comment it will be interesting to see if it becomes more prominent in the future.

3. Alternative paths

The survey shows that when considering all respondents in the 2013 survey 24% were working outside of the UK. This represents a minor increase from the 2011 and 2012 surveys. They were quite conservative in their career path, sticking to the standard architectural route. Over the course of the three surveys very few of those undertaking further study were doing this outside of architecture and only a small number had entered into other creative professions. As the students have only recently graduated this is not surprising.

4. Support and finances

The survey showed that parental support is important in architectural education. Among 2013 survey 28% of those undertaking further study were mainly funded through family support in the form of a trust fund, inheritance or allowance. Furthermore, approximately 39% of all of those employed in the 2013 survey surveys found out about their jobs via personal contacts. This is a slight increase on the previous two surveys. Half of all the graduates in the 2013 survey had had to work during their degree to manage financially (which is very similar to the previous year). However, approximately 80% of respondents said that the issue of student debt had not influenced their career path. This is likely to become more of an issue in the future. This was exemplified in a previous survey where one respondent wrote: 'It will influence it if goes to £9K'. While continuing students are protected from the tuition fee rise it was acknowledged that student debt may affect their career path in the future, indicating the challenges the profession may face. Even now a participant in the 2013 survey stated 'I feel compelled to continue a career in architecture as a result of the amount I have borrowed to pursue the profession'. Family background seems to have been an important influence on whether students enter into architectural education. In the 2013 survey 69% of graduate's father's and 65% of their mother's last employment was in 'Intermediate managerial, administrative, professional e.g. bank manager, teacher' or 'Higher managerial, administrative, professional e.g. chief executive, senior civil servant, surgeon'. While this is a slight reduction in the previous two years it is evident that the majority of architecture students come from relatively affluent backgrounds.

5. Diversity

With regard to gender the survey is already showing up some interesting findings. The experience of the men and women was reasonably similar in many respects (men represented 55% of the 2013 graduates surveyed. When the responses from the 2011, 2012 and 2013 surveys were combined it was evident that men were more likely to be employed on a permanent or open-ended contract on a full-time basis than women although these were relatively small differences. Furthermore, when the three years of surveys are combined women who did not work in architecture were more likely to state that they were prevented from working in architecture as a result of a 'lack of confidence' compared to men regardless of when they graduated. Men were more likely to report a lack of employment opportunities as a more significant barrier to architectural employment. Therefore, gender may already be impacting on female respondents even at this early stage in their career.

Conclusion

These findings will not come as a surprise to anyone working in the sphere of architecture. The importance of this survey lies in creating a statistical foundation for the years that follow. What will be really interesting will be to see how the perceptions and experiences of these

architecture graduates change over the coming decade, one that may radically change the profile of the profession.

Professor Flora Samuel Dr Liam Foster June 2013